Cerebral Glucose Metabolism in Obsessive-Compulsive Hoarding
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Objective: Compulsive hoarding and saving symptoms, found in many patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), are part of a discrete clinical syndrome that includes indecisiveness, disorganization, perfectionism, procrastination, and avoidance and has been associated with poor response to medications and cognitive behavior therapy. The authors sought to identify cerebral metabolic patterns specifically associated with the compulsive hoarding syndrome using positron emission tomography (PET).

Method: [18F]Fluorodeoxyglucose PET scans were obtained for 45 adult subjects who met DSM-IV criteria for OCD (12 of whom had compulsive hoarding as their most prominent OCD symptom factor) and 17 normal comparison subjects. All subjects had been free of psychotropic medication for at least 4 weeks. Regional cerebral glucose metabolism was compared between the groups.

Results: In relation to the comparison subjects, the patients with compulsive hoarding syndrome had significantly lower glucose metabolism in the posterior cingulate gyrus and cuneus, whereas the nonhoarding OCD patients had significantly higher glucose metabolism in the bilateral thalamus and caudate. In relation to nonhoarding OCD patients, compulsive hoarders had significantly lower metabolism in the dorsal anterior cingulate gyrus. Across all OCD patients, hoarding severity was negatively correlated with glucose metabolism in the dorsal anterior cingulate gyrus.

Conclusions: OCD patients with the compulsive hoarding syndrome had a different pattern of cerebral glucose metabolism than nonhoarding OCD patients and comparison subjects. Obsessive-compulsive hoarding may be a neurobiologically distinct subgroup or variant of OCD whose symptoms and poor response to anti-obsessional treatment are mediated by lower activity in the cingulate cortex.

Although standard diagnostic classifications consider obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) to be a single entity, it has become clear that several different symptom dimensions of OCD exist. Large-scale factor and cluster analytic studies of OCD have identified four principal symptom factors: 1) aggressive, sexual, and religious obsessions with checking compulsions; 2) symmetry obsessions with ordering, arranging, and repeating compulsions; 3) contamination obsessions with washing and cleaning compulsions; and 4) hoarding, saving, and collecting symptoms (1–3). These symptom dimensions appear to be relatively stable over time (4). Different symptom factors show different patterns of genetic inheritance (5) and comorbidity (6). Perhaps most important, the symptom factors differ in their response to treatment (7–11). Thus, OCD appears to be a multidimensional and etiologically heterogeneous disorder. Defining the neurobiological mediation of OCD symptom factors is important for establishing more specific phenotypes for research studies and may be critical in the development of more effective treatments.

Hoarding is defined as the acquisition of, and inability to discard, worthless items even though they appear (to others) to have no value (12). Hoarding and saving behavior has been observed in several neuropsychiatric disorders, including schizophrenia, dementia, eating disorders, autism, and mental retardation (13), as well as in nonclinical populations (14), but it is most commonly found in patients with OCD. Approximately 18%–42% of patients with OCD have hoarding and saving compulsions (6, 14–16), and about 10%–20% of all OCD patients are thought to have the compulsive hoarding syndrome as their most prominent and distressing type of OCD.

Frost and colleagues (14) have argued persuasively that hoarding and saving symptoms are part of a discrete clinical syndrome that also includes indecisiveness, perfectionism, procrastination, difficulty organizing tasks, and avoidance. Compulsive hoarding is most commonly driven by obsessional fears of losing important items that the patient believes will be needed later (13), distorted beliefs about the importance of possessions, and excessive emotional attachments to possessions (12). Hoarders usually fear making “wrong decisions” about what to discard and what to keep, so they acquire and save items to prepare for every imaginable contingency. The most com-
Hoarding in OCD. However, despite its common occurrence and clinical significance, to our knowledge, no study to date has investigated the neurobiology of compulsive hoarding syndrome in human patients.

Functional brain imaging research has led to a greater understanding of the neurobiological mediation of OCD. Various positron emission tomography (PET) studies of OCD have found elevated glucose metabolic rates in the orbitofrontal cortex, the anterior cingulate gyrus, the caudate nuclei, and the thalamus (34–40) that normalize with response to treatment (39, 41–46). Interventions that provoke OCD symptoms have been found to increase activity in these same brain regions (47–50). These and other findings have led to the theory that the symptomatic expression of OCD is mediated by hyperactivity along specific frontal-subcortical circuits (51) connecting the orbitofrontal cortex, the ventromedial caudate, the globus pallidus, and the medial dorsal nucleus of the thalamus (52–55; see reference 56 for a review).

Although functional neuroimaging studies in OCD have yielded more consistent results than for other psychiatric disorders, there is heterogeneity in their findings that might be accounted for by phenotypical variations between their subject pools. Virtually all prior neurobiological and treatment studies of OCD have grouped patients with diverse symptom patterns together. Only one neuroimaging study to date has examined the neural correlates of symptom dimensions in patients with OCD (57), finding that the severity of factor 1 symptoms correlated with regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) in the striatum, while factor 2 symptoms had a less-than-significant negative correlation with rCBF in the right striatum. The severity of factor 3 symptoms correlated with rCBF in the left orbitofrontal cortex, the bilateral anterior cingulate gyrus, and other cortical areas. Although these were preliminary results, they suggested that different OCD symptom clusters were mediated by quite different patterns of brain activity.

In a subsequent study using OCD symptom provocation in patients with factor 3 (contamination/washing) symptoms, the same research group replicated the correlation between the severity of factor 3 symptoms and rCBF in the orbitofrontal cortex and the anterior cingulate gyrus (58). Of note, factor 4 symptoms (hoarding and saving) were not included in either of these studies. Thus, it is unclear whether the patients with the hoarding/saving subtype of OCD have the same abnormalities in brain activity as seen in other OCD patients. A recent study found that provocation of hoarding-related anxiety in normal volunteers activated ventral prefrontal regions and the left amygdala (59), but it remains unknown whether these regions mediate the characteristic symptoms and deficits that comprise the compulsive hoarding syndrome in patients.

We sought to determine whether the compulsive hoarding syndrome is associated with unique abnormalities of brain function that are different from those seen in other brain circuits.
OCD patients by using PET to identify cerebral metabolic patterns specifically associated with compulsive hoarding syndrome.

Method

Subjects

This study was carried out under guidelines established by the institutional review board of the University of California at Los Angeles. Subjects were recruited from the Los Angeles area between 1994 and 1999 with approved advertisements in flyers, newspapers, and web sites. Sixty-two subjects were studied: 45 patients with OCD and 17 comparison subjects with no history of neuropsychiatric disorders. Diagnostic classifications were made by clinical interview with DSM-IV criteria and confirmed with the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia—Lifetime Version (60). Symptom severity and level of functioning were rated with the Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (61) and checklist, the 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (62), the Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (63), and the Global Assessment Scale (GAS) (64). The presence of all OCD symptoms, including hoarding/saving symptoms, was assessed prospectively in all subjects at study entry with the Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale and its symptom checklist. To be enrolled in the study, the patients had to meet DSM-IV criteria for OCD and have a pretreatment Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale score of at least 16. These criteria were chosen based on prior use in several studies of OCD (65–67). All diagnostic assessments and rating scales were performed by a study psychiatrist with training in standardized assessment (S.S. or A.L.B.).

For each subject, the severity of the hoarding/saving symptoms was retrospectively rated on a 0–4 scale (0=none, 1=mild, 2=moderate, 3=severe, 4=extreme) with the method of Rauch et al. (58) for rating the severity of OCD symptom factors. OCD patients were classified retrospectively as having the compulsive hoarding syndrome if

1. Compulsive hoarding/saving was their most prominent, distressing, and impairing OCD symptom factor, as determined by clinical interview and the Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale checklist.
2. They met the clinical criteria for compulsive hoarding developed by Frost et al. (14), requiring clutter that precludes use of living spaces and significant functional impairment due to hoarding.
3. They had a hoarding severity score of at least 3.

Of the 45 OCD patients, 12 met those criteria and were diagnosed with compulsive hoarding syndrome.

All subjects were in good physical health. Of the 45 patients with OCD, 16 had comorbid major depression, including three of the 12 compulsive hoarders (25%) and 13 of the 33 nonhoarding OCD patients (39%). Five patients with OCD had comorbid tic disorders. Subjects with other concurrent axis I diagnoses, including bipolar disorder, psychotic disorders, other anxiety disorders, substance abuse, or concurrent medical conditions affecting brain function (i.e., Parkinson’s disease, diabetes mellitus, etc.) were excluded. All subjects had been free of psychoactive medications for at least 4 weeks before entering the study and from fluoxetine for at least 5 weeks. Only four subjects had received any psychotropic medication within 12 weeks of entering the study. A total of 15 of the 45 OCD patients had never before been treated with psychotropic medications. After complete description of the study to the subjects, written informed consent was obtained.

Image Acquisition

PET methods were as detailed in previous reports (40, 45). In brief, each subject received 5–10 mCi of [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) while in a supine position with eyes and ears open. The subjects were closely monitored to make sure that they remained awake and lying still without moving or talking during the 40-minute FDG-uptake period. No cognitive task was given. PET scanning was performed on Siemens-CTI PET tomographs (CTI, Knoxville, Tenn.): the ECAT III 831 (15 transverse sections spaced 6.75 mm apart with 6 mm of in-plane spatial resolution, acquired at an angle parallel to the coronal plane) for the first 24 subjects and the EXACT HR1 961 (47 transverse sections spaced 4.0 mm apart with 3.6 mm of in-plane spatial resolution) for the next 38 subjects.

Each subject also received a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan of the brain with a double-echo sequence (proton density and T2 images; TR=2000 to 2500 msec, TE=25 to 30 msec and 90 to 110 msec, 24 cm field of view, 3 mm slices with 0 mm separation). All MRI scans were reviewed by a neuroradiologist. Any prospective subject with MRI evidence of structural CNS lesions was excluded from the study.

Image Analysis

Two methods of image analysis were employed: 1) MRI-based region-of-interest analysis and 2) statistical parametric mapping (SPM) (68, 69). The region-of-interest analysis allowed for comparisons of glucose metabolism in brain regions chosen a priori, based on previous findings in OCD. SPM was used for two reasons. First, the drawn regions of interest were relatively large, and SPM allowed examination of smaller areas within the regions of interest that might have significant findings but might not be detected in the region-of-interest analysis because adjacent areas did not. Second, SPM could perform an exploratory, whole-brain search of the rest of the brain for significant findings outside of our a priori hypothesized regions of interest. Results from the two methods were compared, given the limitations of each (69, 70).

MRI-based region-of-interest analysis involved coregistering each subject’s FDG PET scans with his or her MRI scan (71) then outlining regions of interest on horizontal planes of the MRI scan, as previously described (40, 45). CSF and white matter were excluded from the outlines of all gray matter regions of interest. Regions of interest were drawn by technicians who were blind to subject identity and diagnosis and were reviewed by S.S. and A.L.B to ensure interrater reliability. Nine bilateral regions of interest were selected a priori based on previous associations with OCD symptoms, depressive symptoms, or response to treatment: the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, orbitofrontal cortex, dorsal anterior cingulate gyrus, ventral anterior cingulate gyrus, caudate, thalamus, amygdala, and hippocampus. Boundaries for these regions were defined with standard atlases (72, 73). The dorsolateral prefrontal cortex consisted of the dorsal half of the middle frontal gyrus, and the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex consisted of its ventral half (74). The orbitofrontal cortex region of interest included the medial and lateral orbital gyri, the orbital part of the inferior front gyrus, and the most inferior part of the frontal pole, but it excluded the gyrus rectus. The anterior cingulate gyrus was divided evenly into dorsal and ventral portions. The superior boundary of the dorsal anterior cingulate gyrus was the base of the body of the cingulate gyrus, while the inferior boundary was parallel to the middle of the body of the caudate. The caudate region of interest included the entire head but excluded the body and tail of the caudate nucleus. Amygdala and hippocampal regions of interest excluded the mesial temporal cortex and the parahippocampal gyrus. Both supratentorial hemispheres were also drawn.

Regions of interest drawn on each subject’s MRI were transferred onto his or her coregistered PET scans. Mean activity in
TABLE 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Compulsive Hoarders, Nonhoarding Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder (OCD) Patients, and Normal Comparison Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Compulsive Hoarders (N=12)</th>
<th>Nonhoarding OCD Patients (N=33)</th>
<th>Normal Comparison Subjects (N=17)</th>
<th>Comparison of Compulsive Hoarders Versus Nonhoarding OCD Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>48.5 10.4</td>
<td>31.8 7.7</td>
<td>32.0 11.6</td>
<td>—a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale score</td>
<td>27.8 4.5</td>
<td>26.6 4.9</td>
<td>0.0 0.0</td>
<td>0.76 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale score</td>
<td>14.3 8.1</td>
<td>13.5 6.2</td>
<td>0.7 1.3</td>
<td>0.32 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale score</td>
<td>15.3 10.6</td>
<td>16.4 9.7</td>
<td>1.4 1.4</td>
<td>0.33 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Assessment Scale score</td>
<td>43.7 7.3</td>
<td>48.3 8.0</td>
<td>91.4 2.8</td>
<td>-1.75 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoarding severityb</td>
<td>3.7 0.5</td>
<td>1.2 0.4</td>
<td>0.0 0.0</td>
<td>16.2 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>7 58.3</td>
<td>12 36.4</td>
<td>9 52.9</td>
<td>—c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women%</td>
<td>N %</td>
<td>N %</td>
<td>N %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a One-way ANOVA (F=15.0, df=2, 59, p<0.001).
b Rated on a 0–4 scale (0=none, 1=mild, 2=moderate, 3=severe, 4=extreme).
c \(\chi^2=11.65, df=2, p=0.003\).

each region-of-interest volume and ratios of each region of interest normalized to ipsilateral hemispheric glucose metabolism (region of interest/hemisphere) were calculated as previously described (40, 45). Absolute glucose metabolic rates could not be calculated accurately or reliably for many PET scans in this study because of errors in gamma counter calibration and blood glucose measurement. Therefore, only regional metabolic data normalized to each subject's ipsilateral hemisphere were used for the MRI-based region-of-interest analysis. This also made the region-of-interest and SPM analyses more congruent since SPM data were also normalized and proportionally scaled to group means.

SPM analysis of PET data employed the software package SPM 96 (75). PET images were co-registered and spatially normalized to the standardized coordinate system of Talairach and Tournoux (76). Normalization by proportional scaling was used. A 16-mm full width at half-maximum, three-dimensional Gaussian smoothing filter was applied to all images. Two OCD subjects had PET scans that could not be normalized properly to the standard template and so were excluded from the SPM analyses. To determine the location of the SPM findings, MRIs of all study subjects were transformed into Talairach space, and clusters with significant correlations were mapped onto a group-averaged MRI. Voxel coordinates were also located in the standard atlas (76).

Statistical Analyses

The data were first screened for distributional properties, outliers, and missing values. No variables were rejected by this process. Demographic variables were compared between the three groups: compulsive hoarders (N=12), nonhoarding patients with OCD (N=33), and normal comparison subjects (N=17). Age was compared between groups with a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (SPSS version 6.1.2 for Macintosh, SPSS, Chicago), with post hoc least significant differences tests to determine which diagnostic group accounted for significant between-group differences (p<0.05), while the proportion of women in each group was compared with a chi-square test. Baseline symptom severity scores (Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale, Hamilton depression scale, Hamilton anxiety scale, GAS, and hoarding severity) were compared between the two patient groups—compulsive hoarders (N=12) and nonhoarding OCD patients (N=33)—with t-tests (two-tailed) for independent samples.

Both SPM and MRI-based region-of-interest analyses were used to identify significant differences in regional cerebral glucose metabolism between the three groups: compulsive hoarders, nonhoarding OCD patients, and normal comparison subjects. For the region-of-interest analysis, region of interest/hemisphere values were compared between the three groups with omnibus multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA), using diagnostic group as a between-subject factor and normalized glucose metabolic rates in the selected regions of interest as the dependent variables, with age, gender, scanner type, and Hamilton depression scale score as covariates. Two omnibus MANOVAs were performed, one for all OCD-related regions of interest (the caudate, the thalamus, the orbitofrontal cortex, and the ventral anterior cingulate gyrus) and one for depression-related regions of interest (the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, the dorsal anterior cingulate gyrus, the hippocampus, and the amygdala). Wilks' lambda statistic was used to determine whether the diagnostic groups were significantly different across all comparisons of metabolic rates. Univariate ANOVAs were then performed, followed by post hoc pairwise comparisons for only the regions of interest found to have significant effects of diagnosis in the univariate ANOVAs, to determine which diagnostic groups accounted for significant between-group differences (p<0.05). This method reduced the likelihood of type II error from multiple comparisons.

For SPM analysis, cerebral metabolic differences between groups were assessed with the z statistic on a voxel-by-voxel basis to identify the profile of voxels that differed significantly between groups. Age, gender, scanner type, and Hamilton depression scale score were entered into the model for each between-group comparison as nuisance covariates. Only clusters larger than 200 voxels were reported as significant. A significance threshold of p<0.005 at the uncorrected voxel level was used. This threshold was similar to those used in other published studies of mood and anxiety disorders using SPM (44, 77). Results are presented with the voxel of peak significance.

To determine associations between the severity of compulsive hoarding/saving symptoms and baseline regional cerebral glucose metabolism, data from all 45 OCD patients were pooled and both analyses based on region of interest and whole-brain SPM were performed. For the MRI-based region-of-interest data analysis, partial correlation coefficients (two-tailed) were calculated between pretreatment region of interest/hemisphere values and hoarding severity score, covarying for age, gender, scanner type, and Hamilton depression scale score. For the SPM analysis, linear
regression was performed with glucose metabolic rates as dependent variables and hoarding severity as an independent variable. Age, gender, scanner type, and Hamilton depression scale score were entered into the model as nuisance covariates. The SPM program performed a separate linear regression for every voxel in which the data were the voxel-normalized metabolic rates for every scan. In the regressions, the variance of the voxel-normalized metabolic rate was taken to be independent of scan and voxel. Voxels with linear relationships between normalized glucose metabolism and hoarding severity were considered significant at an uncorrected p<0.005.

### Results

Compulsive hoarders were significantly older than nonhoarding OCD patients and comparison subjects (ANOVA: F=15.0, df=2, 59, p<0.001; least significant differences p<0.05) (Table 1). There was a significantly lower proportion of women in the nonhoarding group with OCD than in the group of compulsive hoarders or the group of normal comparison subjects (χ²=11.65, df=2, p=0.003). Compulsive hoarders and nonhoarding patients with OCD did not significantly differ from one another in scores on the Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale, the Hamilton depression scale, the Hamilton anxiety scale, or the GAS, but compulsive hoarders scored significantly higher than nonhoarders on the hoarding severity scale (t=16.2, df=43, p<0.001) (Table 1).

### MRI-Based Region-of-Interest Results

A significant effect of diagnosis was found in the omnibus MANOVA comparing OCD-related region of interest/hemisphere values in compulsive hoarders, the nonhoarding OCD patients, and the comparison subjects (Wilks’s lambda=0.78; F=0.91, df=28, 84, p=0.89). No significant correlation was found between hoarding severity score and any region of interest/hemisphere ratio.

### SPM Results

In relation to the comparison subjects, the compulsive hoarders had significantly lower cerebral glucose metabolism in the right posterior cingulate gyrus (Talairach coordinates: x=10, y=–50, z=36; z score=2.71, p=0.003) and the bilateral cuneus (Talairach coordinates: x=–2, y=–84, z=30; z score=2.82, p=0.002) (Figure 1), but they had higher metabolism in small regions within the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (Talairach coordinates: x=–50, y=30, z=30; z score=3.52, p<0.001) and the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (Talairach coordinates: x=44, y=26, z=26; z score=2.72, p=0.003) (Figure 2).

---

**TABLE 2. Estimated Marginal Glucose Metabolic Ratios for Brain Regions Related to Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder (OCD) in Compulsive Hoarders, Nonhoarding OCD Patients, and Normal Comparison Subjects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region of Interest</th>
<th>Normal Comparison Subjects (N=17)</th>
<th>Compulsive Hoarders (N=12)</th>
<th>Nonhoarding OCD Patients (N=33)</th>
<th>Analysis of Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbitofrontal cortex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventral anterior cingulate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Pairwise comparisons were conducted with post hoc least significant differences tests. For the right caudate, ratios for nonhoarding OCD patients were higher than those for normal comparison subjects (p<0.05), and ratios for compulsive hoarders were nonsignificantly higher than those for comparison normal subjects (p=0.06).

*b Pairwise comparisons were conducted with post hoc least significant differences tests. For the right and left thalamus, ratios for nonhoarding OCD patients were higher than those for normal comparison subjects (p<0.05). Ratios for nonhoarding patients with OCD were higher than those for compulsive hoarders (p<0.05).
To further quantify the difference in cerebral glucose metabolism between the compulsive hoarders and the comparison subjects found in the SPM analysis, we drew the posterior cingulate gyrus on the MRI of each subject and compared normalized glucose metabolic ratios for left and right posterior cingulate in the two groups with a MANOVA after covarying for age, gender, scanner type, and Hamilton depression scale score. Metabolism in the right posterior cingulate was significantly lower in the compulsive hoarders than in the comparison subjects (estimated marginal data: mean=1.30, SE=0.03, versus mean=1.41, SE=0.02; F=5.23, df=2, 21, p=0.03).

In relation to the comparison subjects, compulsive hoarders had significantly lower cerebral glucose metabolism in the right posterior cingulate gyrus (Talairach coordinates: x=10, y=−50, z=36; z score=2.71, p=0.003) and the bilateral cuneus (Talairach coordinates: x=−2, y=−84, z=30; z score=2.82, p=0.002).

Compared to nonhoarding OCD patients, compulsive hoarders had significantly lower glucose metabolism in the bilateral dorsal anterior cingulate gyrus (Talairach coordinates: x=−50, y=30, z=30; z score=3.52, p<0.001) and the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (Talairach coordinates: x=44, y=26, z=26; z score=2.72, p=0.003).

Compared to nonhoarding OCD patients, compulsive hoarders had significantly higher glucose metabolism in the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (Talairach coordinates: x=−50, y=30, z=30; z score=3.52, p<0.001) and the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (Talairach coordinates: x=2, y=22, z=20; z score=3.85, p<0.001).

Across all 45 OCD patients, hoarding severity was negatively correlated with glucose metabolism in this same area, extending into the corpus callosum (Talairach coordinates: x=0, y=20, z=14; z score=3.52, p<0.001).

The compulsive hoarders had a higher metabolism in a small locus in the right sensory motor cortex (Talairach coordinates: x=48, y=−24, z=26; z score=3.41, p<0.001) than the nonhoarding OCD patients, and hoarding severity was positively correlated with metabolism in that same region (Talairach coordinates: x=48, y=−24, z=26; z score=3.41, p<0.001).

Conclusions

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the functional neuroanatomy of obsessive-compulsive hoarding. The main finding of this study was that the patients with compulsive hoarding syndrome had a different pattern of cerebral glucose metabolism than both the nonhoarding OCD patients and the normal comparison subjects. Although the nonhoarding OCD patients showed the...
GLUCOSE METABOLISM AND HOARDING

The symptoms of compulsive hoarding syndrome may be mediated by diminished activity in several parts of the cingulate cortex. Across all OCD patients studied, hoarding severity was significantly correlated with lower activity in the dorsal part of the anterior cingulate gyrus, its cognitive subdivision (78). Functions of the anterior cingulate cortex include motivation, executive control, focused attention, assigning emotional valence to stimuli, monitoring response to conflict, emotional self-control, problem solving, detecting errors, and selecting responses (78, 79). The anterior cingulate also plays a key role in decision making, especially in choosing between multiple conflicting options (80, 81). Compulsive hoarders had significantly lower activity in the right posterior cingulate cortex expected elevations in regional metabolism in the caudate and thalamus in relation to the comparison subjects, the compulsive hoarders did not. Instead, the hoarders showed a unique pattern of lower metabolism in the posterior cingulate cortex and the occipital cortex (cuneus) in relation to the comparison subjects. The hoarders and the nonhoarding OCD patients also differed from each other, with the hoarders having significantly lower metabolism in the dorsal anterior cingulate gyrus and the thalamus than the nonhoarding OCD patients. Our findings suggest that compulsive hoarding syndrome may be a neurobiologically distinct variant of OCD.

The symptoms of compulsive hoarding syndrome may be mediated by diminished activity in several parts of the cingulate cortex. Across all OCD patients studied, hoarding severity was significantly correlated with lower activity in the dorsal part of the anterior cingulate gyrus, its cognitive subdivision (78). Functions of the anterior cingulate cortex include motivation, executive control, focused attention, assigning emotional valence to stimuli, monitoring response to conflict, emotional self-control, problem solving, detecting errors, and selecting responses (78, 79). The anterior cingulate also plays a key role in decision making, especially in choosing between multiple conflicting options (80, 81). Compulsive hoarders had significantly lower activity in the right posterior cingulate cortex expected elevations in regional metabolism in the caudate and thalamus in relation to the comparison subjects, the compulsive hoarders did not. Instead, the hoarders showed a unique pattern of lower metabolism in the posterior cingulate cortex and the occipital cortex (cuneus) in relation to the comparison subjects. The hoarders and the nonhoarding OCD patients also differed from each other, with the hoarders having significantly lower metabolism in the dorsal anterior cingulate gyrus and the thalamus than the nonhoarding OCD patients. Our findings suggest that compulsive hoarding syndrome may be a neurobiologically distinct variant of OCD.

The symptoms of compulsive hoarding syndrome may be mediated by diminished activity in several parts of the cingulate cortex. Across all OCD patients studied, hoarding severity was significantly correlated with lower activity in the dorsal part of the anterior cingulate gyrus, its cognitive subdivision (78). Functions of the anterior cingulate cortex include motivation, executive control, focused attention, assigning emotional valence to stimuli, monitoring response to conflict, emotional self-control, problem solving, detecting errors, and selecting responses (78, 79). The anterior cingulate also plays a key role in decision making, especially in choosing between multiple conflicting options (80, 81). Compulsive hoarders had significantly lower activity in the right posterior cingulate cortex.
Compulsive hoarders were found to have lower glucose metabolism in brain regions specifically associated with response to treatment in previous studies. Lower pretreatment activity of the anterior cingulate gyrus has been strongly associated with poor response to antidepressant treatment (85–88), while lower pretreatment activity in the posterior cingulate gyrus has been found to correlate with worse response to fluvoxamine (59) and cingulotomy (84) in patients with OCD. Thus, our finding of low cingulate gyrus activity in patients with the compulsive hoarding syndrome is quite consistent with its poor response to standard treatments for OCD. Lower activity in the anterior and posterior cingulate cortex may not only mediate the symptoms of the compulsive hoarding syndrome but also its poor response to treatment.

The findings of low metabolism in the occipital cortex in compulsive hoarders was consistent with the results of prior imaging studies of OCD patients that found decreased metabolism (36, 89) and grey matter density (90) in this area. Abnormalities in parietal-occipital regions may be related to deficits in visuospatial processing and visual memory seen in some OCD patients (91, 92). Our present findings suggest that these abnormalities may be preferentially found in OCD patients with compulsive hoarding syndrome.

This preliminary study had several limitations that reduced its power and generalizability. Because the original study was designed to compare OCD to major depressive disorder and normal comparison subjects—not to examine OCD symptom factors—the analysis of hoarders and nonhoarders was a post hoc exploratory analysis. Hoarders and nonhoarders were classified retrospectively. No standardized or validated measurements of hoarding severity were used. Compulsive hoarders were significantly older than nonhoarders and comparison subjects, so all analyses had to covary for age. In addition, the use of two different PET scanners also required analyses to covary for scanner type and could have caused artifactual results. The addition of multiple covariates may have decreased the statistical power for finding significant differences among groups. Our results will require replication in a new group of compulsive hoarders and age- and sex-matched groups of normal comparison subjects and nonhoarding OCD patients who are defined prospectively.

However, this study also had several strengths that afford confidence in its findings. Both MRI-based localization of regions of interest and whole-brain SPM analyses were used to identify differences of regional brain metabolism between groups and gave similar results. To our knowledge, the overall number of 45 patients with OCD is the largest group of OCD patients ever studied with any functional imaging modality. All subjects were free of psychotropic medications for at least 4 weeks (and at least 5 weeks for fluoxetine), reducing the risk of medication effects on baseline cerebral metabolism. Compulsive hoarders and nonhoarders were similar in terms of symptom severity and prevalence of comorbid major depression. Because about 35% of our OCD patients (25% of the compulsive hoarders and 39% of the nonhoarding OCD patients) had comorbid depression, which exerts a strong influence on baseline cerebral metabolism (40), all analyses covaried for depression severity, as measured by Hamilton depression scale score. Depression severity did not differ between hoarders and nonhoarders in this study, so the differences in thalamic and cingulate metabolism between these groups cannot be accounted for simply by depression.

In conclusion, the findings of this study add to the growing evidence of neurobiological heterogeneity within the diagnosis of OCD. Neurobiological differences may underlie differences in phenomenology, comorbidity, and treatment response between the different symptom factors. In addition to the observed differences in cerebral glucose metabolism, our results raise the question of whether compulsive hoarders also have structural brain abnormalities and neurocognitive deficits that differ from those seen in nonhoarding OCD patients. Identifying neurobiological differences between OCD subtypes may allow for the prediction of differential treatment response and the development of more syndrome-specific treatments.
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